UFYS2010: Radio astronomy instrumentation and interferometry

Kaj Wiik

Tuorla Observatory

Spring 2013

Based partly on 'Essential radio astronomy' from http://www.cv.nrao.edu/course/astr534/Interferometers2.html and http://www.cv.nrao.edu/course/astr534/Interferometers2.html by J. J. Condon and S. M. Ransom.

◆□ ▶ ◆ 同 ▶ ◆ 国 ▶ □ 1/35

Recap from lecture 7

Recap

Interferometers in Three Dimensions

Amplitude calibration

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ 三 2/35

Recap: complex correlator response



$$J_{\nu} = \int I_{
u}(\hat{s}) \exp(-i2\pi ec{b} \cdot \hat{s}/\lambda) \, \mathrm{d}\Omega$$

Complex correlator can be implemented simply by introducing 90° phase shift or a *Hilbert transform* \mathcal{H} to the second branch of the correlator.

Complex visibility is

$$V \equiv R_{\rm c} - iR_{\rm s} = Ae^{-i\phi}$$

◆□▶ ◆ 同▶ ◆ 国▶ □ 3/35

Recap: Effect of finite observing bandwidth



To reduce the effect of bandwith smearing, the field of view times fractional bandwidth should be much less than the synthesized beam (in practise observe in frequency slices):



Like finite bandwith, finite correlator integration time smears images with large fields. This is because Earth's rotation moves the source position in the frame of the interferometer.

This should be kept much smaller than the synthesized beam $\theta_{\rm s} \approx \lambda/b$. E.g. if tracking the north celestial pole, source $\Delta\theta$ away will move at an angular rate of $2\pi\Delta\theta/P$, $P \approx 23^{\rm h}56^{\rm m}04^{\rm s} \approx 86164$ s.

If correlator averaging time is long compared this apparent movement, the synthesized beam will broaden tangentially. To minimize this,

$$\Delta \theta \Delta t \ll rac{ heta_{
m s} P}{2\pi} pprox heta_{
m s} imes 1.37 imes 10^4 \ {
m s}$$

Again: observe in slices, in this case short correlator integration time for one visibility data point.

◆□▶ ◆ 同▶ ◆ 国 ▶ 5/35



◆□▶◆圖▶◆臣▶ 臣 6/35



◆□▶◆□▶◆臣▶ 臣 7/35



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶



◆□ ▶ ◆ 圖 ▶ ◆ 圖 ▶ 圖 10/35



◆□ ▶ ◆ 圖 ▶ ◆ 圖 ▶ □ 圖 11/35



Example of uv-coverage



From Murphy et al. 1999 UFYS2010: Radio astronomy instrumentation and interferometry

◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ □ □ 13/35

Coplanar interferometers



Very Large Array, New Mexico, USA. Y-shaped and nearly coplanar array of 27 25-m telescopes



Australia Telescope Compact Array, Narrabri, Australia. Six 22-m antennas (EW-NS).

In snapshot mode these interferometers can be treated as two-dimensional. But when using Earth rotation synthesis, even these interferometers fill a 3D volume.

Interferometers in Three Dimensions

Coordinate systems: uvw and Imn



The (u, v, w) coordinate system is used to describe any baseline vector \vec{b} in three dimensions. The *w*-axis points usually to the source \hat{s}_0 . The *u* and *v* axes point east and north and are in wavelenghts.

Unit vector directed to different parts of the source \hat{s} has components (l, m, n), where $n = \cos(\theta) = (1 - l^2 - m^2)^{1/2}$. The components (l, m, n) are *direction cosines*.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ 圖 15/35

In the (I, m, n) coordinates, solid angle equals

$$\mathrm{d}\Omega = \frac{\mathrm{d}/\mathrm{d}m}{(1 - l^2 - m^2)^{1/2}}.$$
 (1)

Then the two-element interferometer visibility equation generalizes to

$$V_{\nu}(u, v, w) = \int \int \frac{l_{\nu}(l, m)}{(1 - l^2 - m^2)^{1/2}} \exp[-i2\pi(ul + vm + wn)] dl dm$$
(2)

Unfortunately this is not a 3D-FT.

Coplanar interferometer

If we assume that all antennas are on a plane, direction cosines are

$$l = \cos(\alpha), m = \cos(\beta), n = \cos(\gamma) = \sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2} \qquad (3)$$

$$\vec{b} = (\lambda u, \lambda v, \lambda w) = (\lambda u, \lambda v, 0),$$
 (4)

where coordinates (u, v, w) are in wavelengths.

Then

$$\vec{b} \cdot \vec{s} \cdot \frac{\nu}{c} = ul + vm + wn = ul + vm$$
(5)

$$V_{\nu}(u,v) = \iint \frac{I_{\nu}(l,m)}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}} e^{-i2\pi(ul+vm)} \,\mathrm{d}l \,\mathrm{d}m \tag{6}$$

This is a 2-d FT between the projected brightness $I_{\nu}/\cos(\gamma)$ and the spatial coherence function i.e. visibility $V_{\nu}(u, v)$ that can be inverted:

$$I_{\nu}(l,m) = \cos(\gamma) \iint V_{\nu}(u,v) e^{i2\pi(ul+vm)} \,\mathrm{d}u \,\mathrm{d}v \tag{7}$$

Non-plane case (measurement in volume instead on a plane):

$$V_{\nu}(u, v, w) = \iint \frac{I_{\nu}(l, m)}{\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2}} e^{-i2\pi(ul + vm + wn)} \, \mathrm{d}l \, \mathrm{d}m \qquad (8)$$

Problem: not a 3d FT \Rightarrow not easy to invert!

Solution: orient coordinates so that w-axis points to the source and use small angle approximation:

$$n = \cos \gamma = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \gamma} = \sqrt{1 - \theta} \simeq 1 - \theta^2/2,$$
 (9)

where θ is the polar angle from image center to imaged source components.

Non-plane case, solution

Then

$$V_{\nu}(u, v, w) = \iint \frac{I_{\nu}(I, m)}{\sqrt{1 - l^2 - m^2}} e^{-i2\pi(uI + vm + w\theta^2/2)} \, \mathrm{d}I \, \mathrm{d}m \quad (10)$$

If $w\theta^2 \ll 1$ or $\theta_{max} \lesssim \sqrt{\theta_{synth}}$, it can be neglected. E.g. for a 0.1 milliarcsecond synthesized beam the limit would be about 144 milliarcseconds. Then further

$$V'_{\nu}(u,v) = \iint \frac{I_{\nu}(I,m)}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}} e^{-i2\pi(ul+vm)} \,\mathrm{d}I \,\mathrm{d}m, \qquad (11)$$

which is again a 2D FT, yippee!

Non-plane case, solution

Then

$$V_{\nu}(u,v,w) = \iint \frac{l_{\nu}(l,m)}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}} e^{-i2\pi(ul+vm+w\theta^2/2)} \,\mathrm{d}l \,\mathrm{d}m \quad (10)$$

If $w\theta^2 \ll 1$ or $\theta_{max} \lesssim \sqrt{\theta_{synth}}$, it can be neglected. E.g. for a 0.1 milliarcsecond synthesized beam the limit would be about 144 milliarcseconds. Then further

$$V'_{\nu}(u,v) = \iint \frac{I_{\nu}(I,m)}{\sqrt{1-l^2-m^2}} e^{-i2\pi(uI+vm)} \,\mathrm{d}I \,\mathrm{d}m, \qquad (11)$$

which is again a 2D FT, yippee!

Larger fields can be mapped using *mosaicing*, i.e. observing several patches of sky separately. Because the new large interferometers (LOFAR, SKA) have very large primary beams that enable mapping of very wide field, these techniques are under fast development.

To be able to use digital electronics and computers, the signal from antennas has to be *sampled*. This is done usually at IF (intermediate frequency)

If signal with bandwidth of $\Delta \nu$ is sampled at regular intervals of $\Delta t = \frac{1}{2\Delta\nu}$ the signal can accurately reconstructed. E.g. a signal with a bandwidth of 1 GHz must be sampled at least at $\frac{1}{2GHz} = 500$ ps intervals.

If sampling is sparser, out-of-band signals are *aliased* to the intended band creating interference and increasing noise. Note: recently it has been proved that Shannon sampling theorem is a special case for *dense* signals, *sparse* signals can be recovered with fewer samples using **compressed sensing** methods.

- The signal must be quantized during sampling. Digital audio uses at least 16-bit quantization i.e. 2¹⁶ = 65536 levels.
- In interferomtery where the dynamic range is smaller and signals are noise-like much coarser quantization can be used (1 - 2 bits i.e. 2 - 4 levels at the VLBA).
- Quantization increases noise: efficiency is 64% with two levels and 88% with four levels.
- Quantization creates systematic error in correlation which is a function of number of levels and degree of correlation. This error can be compensated using Van Vleck correction.

Correlation function:

$$C_{ij}(\tau) = \langle v_i(t)v_j(t+\tau) \rangle$$
(12)

- If $v_i == v_j \Rightarrow$ autocorrelation.
- \blacktriangleright Both real and imaginary parts are needed in interferometry \Rightarrow complex correlation.
- Complex correlation by correlating signals directly and with a 90° phase shift (Hilbert transform):

$$V_{ij}(\tau) = \langle v_i(t)v_j(t+\tau) \rangle + i \langle \mathcal{H}[v_i(t)]v_j(t+\tau) \rangle$$
(13)

Different correlator architectures:

- Hardware correlators using custom integrated circuits.
- Software correlators using COTS computers (COTS = 'Common Off The Shelf').
- FX and XF correlators (F = Fourier transform, X = multiplication).
- ► COTS CPU's getting faster ⇒ trend towards software correlators, also for flexibility.

Antenna response:

$$K = \frac{\eta_{a}A}{2k} = \frac{A_{eff}}{2k} = \frac{T_{a}}{S} \left[\frac{K}{Jy} \right] = DPFU$$
(14)

System response **SEFD**: what amount of source flux increases the system noise as much as the noise of the receiving equipment when $T_a = 0$:

$$SEFD = \frac{T_{sys}}{DPFU} = \frac{2kT_{sys}}{A_{eff}} \quad [Jy]$$
(15)

Baseline sensitivity for antennas *i* and *j* (η_s = system efficiency):

$$\Delta S_{ij} = \frac{1}{\eta_s} \sqrt{\frac{\text{SEFD}_i \cdot \text{SEFD}_j}{2\Delta\nu\tau_{int}}} \quad [Jy]$$
(16)

The number of baselines L is:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \cdot N \cdot (N-1), \qquad (17)$$

where N = number of antennas.

Image sensitivity I_m is standard deviation of mean of L samples (baselines),

$$\Delta I_m = \frac{1}{\eta_s} \sqrt{\frac{\text{SEFD}_i \cdot \text{SEFD}_j}{N(N-1)\Delta\nu\tau_{int}}} \quad [\text{Jy/beam}]$$
(18)

- Note that while real and imaginary part of the visibility noise are Gaussian, amplitude and phase of the visibility are not.
- ► Especially amplitude is always positive ⇒ for finite visibility noise mean is never zero ⇒ bias!
- ► For zero SNR phase distribution is uniform ⇒ can be used for weak signal detection.

VLBI data reduction path - continuum



From: Ylva Pihlström, Craig Walker, Tenth Synthesis Imaging Summer School, UNM 2006.

Apriori editing

- · Flags from the on-line system will remove bad data from
 - Antenna not yet on source
 - Subreflector not in position
 - LO synthesizers not locked

Raw correlator constant ρ_{ij} ($\propto V_{ij}$) must be calibrated to get **correlated flux densities**:

$$S_{ij}^{c} = \rho_{ij} \frac{b}{\eta_{s}} \sqrt{\frac{T_{sys}^{i} T_{sys}^{j}}{\text{SEFD}_{i} \text{SEFD}_{j} e^{-\tau_{i}} e^{-\tau_{j}}}},$$
(19)

where

- ρ_{ij} = raw visibility
- b = correlator scaling factor
- η_s = system efficiency (digitization losses etc.)
- T_{sys}^n = system temperature at antenna n
- ► SEFD_n = system effective flux density at antenna n, incl. antenna gain vs. elevation
- $e^{-\tau_n} = \text{atmospheric absorption at antenna } n$

Calibration with system temperatures

Upper plot: increased T_{sys} due to rain and low elevation

Lower plot: removal of the effect.



From: Ylva Pihlström, Craig Walker, Tenth Synthesis Imaging Summer School, UNM 2006.

VLBA gain curves

- · Caused by gravitationally induced distortions of antenna
- · Function of elevation, depends on frequency



From: Ylva Pihlström, Craig Walker, Tenth Synthesis Imaging Summer School, UNM 2006.

System noise temperature due to receiver and the atmosphere:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} T_{sys} & = & T_R + T_0 (1 - e^{-\tau_0 \sec z}) \\ T_{sys} & \simeq & T_R + T_0 \tau_0 \sec z \end{array}, \tag{20}$$

where T_R is receiver noise, T_0 is atmospheric effective temperature, τ_0 opacity towards zenith, and sec z is secant of zenith angular distance \simeq line of sight airmass.

Atmospheric opacity II

 T_{sys} plotted as a function of airmass ($T_{sys} \simeq T_R + T_0 \tau_0 \sec z$):



Zenith opacity τ_0 is the slope of the linear fit (times T_0) and $T_R = T_{sys}(airmass = 0)$

Figure from VLBA-book

Atmospheric opacity III

Instantaneous opacity can be solved if T_R is assumed to be constant and known:

$$\tau = -\ln\left[\frac{T_0 + T_R - T_{sys}}{T_0}\right] \tag{21}$$



